U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410

www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for **HUD-assisted** Projects **24 CFR Part 58**

Project Information

Project Name: FY25-Lehi-Sidewalk-Engineering-Project

HEROS Number: 900000010487143

Start Date: 07/29/2025

Responsible Entity (RE): MOUNTAINLAND ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS, 586 E

800 N OREM UT, 84097

RE Preparer: Claudia Saldana

State / Local Identifier: UT

Certifying Officer: Mayor, Lehi City

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent

ity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

40 CFR 1506.5(b)(4): The lead agency or, where appropriate, a cooperating agency shall prepare a disclosure statement for the contractor's execution specifying that the contractor has no financial or other interest in the outcome of the action. Such statement need not include privileged or confidential trade secrets or other confidential business information.

✓ By checking this box, I attest that as a preparer, I have no financial or other interest in the outcome of the undertaking assessed in this environmental review.

Project Location: , Lehi, UT

Additional Location Information:

This environmental review will include both the PY25 and PY26 project locations for the installation of curb & gutter, sidewalk, and pavement on the west side of 400 East between 400 North and 600 North. The PY26 project location, depending on funding, will be on 600 North between 300 East and 400 East in Lehi City, Utah.

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

This project will include the installation of Curb & Gutter, Sidewalk, and pavement on the west side of 400 East between 400 North and 600 North, and 600 N between 300 E and 400 E in Lehi City, Utah. This will improve traffic interaction, add pedestrian ADA ramps, and improve mobility in the downtown area. It will also increase safety and walkability to the nearby schools and parks.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The overarching purpose of the proposed action is to improve the safety, mobility, and accessibility of the transportation infrastructure in the project area, specifically on the west side of 400 East between 400 North and 600 North, and 600 North between 300 East and 400 East. The project is set to correct significant safety hazards, achieve federal ADA compliance, and enhance the structural integrity of the public right-of-way. The project is necessary because the current infrastructure is deficient or non-existent, creating critical safety risks and mobility barriers. Specifically, the lack of continuous sidewalks and ADA-compliant ramps forces pedestrians, particularly those with disabilities and children walking to nearby schools and parks, to use the roadway, leading to increased danger and violating federal accessibility mandates. Furthermore, the absence of proper curb and gutter infrastructure results in uncontrolled stormwater runoff, which accelerates pavement degradation, compromises drainage, and creates hazardous driving surfaces, driving up long-term maintenance costs. The proposed installation of new pavement, curb and gutter, and sidewalks will directly resolve these issues by ensuring equitable access, providing safe and defined pedestrian corridors, improving traffic interaction, and establishing a robust system for managing stormwater, all of which are essential for a functional, compliant, and safe downtown environment consistent with local planning goals. This project is consistent with and supports the goals of local

planning efforts, including the Consolidated Plan, by addressing public infrastructure to increase safety, mobility, and accessibility.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The existing pedestrian infrastructure in many areas of Lehi City, particularly within its older, established neighborhoods and designated CDBG target areas, is characterized by significant deficiencies that pose barriers to safety and accessibility. Current streets have a severe lack of continuity, where long stretches of sidewalk abruptly cease, forcing pedestrians, including students, to walk within the street right-of-way. Where sidewalks do exist, many are substandard, featuring cracked and heaved surfaces, steep cross-slopes, and trip hazards. Critically, many curb ramps at intersections are either entirely absent or non-compliant with ADA standards, failing to provide the required accessible path of travel. This fragmented and non-ADA-compliant condition creates an unsafe and inequitable environment, limiting mobility for persons with disabilities and children, and directly contributing to the need for CDBG public improvement assistance.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Determination:

√	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
B-25-MC-49-0015	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	\$260,875.00

FY25-Lehi-Sidewalk- Lehi, UT 90000010487143 Engineering-Project

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount:

\$260,875.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$300,000.00 (5)]:

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATION	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATION	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This

		T
		project is to install new curb and gutter, and sidewalks to Lehi City downtown
		area. It will not be a new source of
		pollution.
Coastal Zone Management Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that
Coastal Zone Management Act	L IES EL INU	does not participate in the Coastal Zone
sections 307(c) & (d)		Management Program. Therefore, this
sections 307(c) & (u)		project is in compliance with the Coastal
		Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Substances	l les E No	follows: None of the above. On-site or
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
24 61 11 30.3(1) & 30.3(1)(2)]		substances that could affect the health
		and safety of project occupants or
		conflict with the intended use of the
		property were not found. The project is
		exempt from radon consideration. The
		project is in compliance with
		contamination and toxic substances
		requirements.
Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project has been determined to
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		have No Effect on listed species. This
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		project is in compliance with the
402		Endangered Species Act without
		mitigation.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part		project includes no activities that would
51 Subpart C		require further evaluation under this
		section. The project is in compliance
		with explosive and flammable hazard
		requirements.
Farmlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		activities that could potentially convert
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		agricultural land to a non-agricultural
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		use. The project is in compliance with
		the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management	☐ Yes ☑ No	The following exception applies,
Executive Order 11988, particularly		therefore the project is in compliance
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690:
		55.12(i) Special projects directed to the
		removal of material and architectural
		barriers that restrict the mobility of and
		accessibility to elderly and persons with
		disabilities.

Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.	
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.	
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.	
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project will not impact on- or off- site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.	
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The only two WSR in Utah are the Green and Virgin River. Neither of which is in Utah County, Utah, where this project will take place.	
HUD HO	DUSING ENVIRONMEN	ITAL STANDARDS	
	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	☐ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.	

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		LAND DEVELOPMENT	
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	1	Sidewalk curb and gutter improvements are consistent with the Lehi City Master Transportation Plan. (Master plan attached)	None
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	1	The sidewalk, curb, and gutter improvements will significantly help with draining and stormwater runoff by defining flow paths and directing rainwater and runoff from streets and sidewalks. This will prevent pooling and help minimise soil erosion.	None
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site- Generated Noise	2	While there may be temporary minor adverse impacts, such as the presence of construction zones, the project installs a dedicated sidewalk, separating pedestrians from vehicular traffic, which results in a long-term positive impact on public safety and reduces accident potential.	None
		SOCIOECONOMIC	
Employment and Income Patterns	2	The project will not induce population growth, significantly alter the area's income characteristics, or lead to any measurable, long-term employment shifts. The project does not result in disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority or low-income populations, but rather provides an equitable and beneficial outcome by improving infrastructure for the area.	None
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	The project does not result in demographic changes or displacement to current residents in the area. The project does not result in disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority or low-income populations, but rather provides an equitable and beneficial outcome by improving infrastructure for the area.	None
Environmental Justice EA Factor	2	The project does not result in disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority	None

Environmental Imp		Impact Evaluation	Mitigation	
Assessment Factor	Code			
		or low-income populations, but rather provides an equitable and beneficial outcome by improving infrastructure for the area.		
	COMM	JNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES		
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	1	Sidewalk curb and gutter are consistent with the Lehi City Master Plan. This will also serve as a safe walkway to and from Lehi High School, Lehi Elementary School, and Wines Park.	None	
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	1	Sidewalk curb and gutter are consistent with the Lehi City Master Plan. This also provides a safe walkway to and from Lehi High School, Lehi Elementary School, and Wines Park.	None	
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	2	While there may be temporary minor adverse impacts, such as the presence of construction zones, the project installs a dedicated sidewalk, separating pedestrians from vehicular traffic, which results in a long-term positive impact on public safety and reduces accident potential. There will be no impact on health care or social services.	None	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	While there may be temporary minor adverse impacts, such as the presence of construction zones, the project installs a dedicated sidewalk, separating pedestrians from vehicular traffic, which results in a long-term positive impact on public safety and reduces accident potential. There will be no impact on solid waste disposal or recycling.	None	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	1	Sidewalk curb and gutter are consistent with the Lehi City Master Plan and allow proper flow to the storm drain system.	None	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	While there may be temporary minor adverse impacts, such as the presence of construction zones, the project installs a dedicated sidewalk, separating pedestrians from vehicular traffic, which results in a long-term positive impact on public safety	None	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		and reduces accident potential. There will	
		be no impact on the water supply.	
Public Safety -	2	While there may be temporary minor	None
Police, Fire and		adverse impacts, such as the presence of	
Emergency Medical		construction zones, the project installs a	
		dedicated sidewalk, separating pedestrians	
		from vehicular traffic, which results in a	
		long-term positive impact on public safety	
		and reduces accident potential. There will	
		be no impact on public safety.	
Parks, Open Space	1	Sidewalk curb and gutter are consistent	None
and Recreation		with the Lehi City Master Plan. This also	
(Access and Capacity)		provides a safe walkway to and from Wines	
		Park.	
Transportation and	1	Sidewalk curb and gutter are consistent	None
Accessibility (Access		with the Lehi City Master Plan. This will also	
and Capacity)		serve as a safe walkway to and from Lehi	
		High School, Lehi Elementary School,	
		Quench it, and Wines Park.	
		NATURAL FEATURES	
Unique Natural	2	While there may be temporary minor	None
Features /Water		adverse impacts, such as the presence of	
Resources		construction zones, the project installs a	
		dedicated sidewalk, separating pedestrians	
		from vehicular traffic, which results in a	
		long-term positive impact on public safety	
		and reduces accident potential.	
Vegetation / Wildlife		While there may be temporary minor	None
(Introduction,		adverse impacts, such as the presence of	
Modification,		construction zones, the project installs a	
Removal, Disruption,		dedicated sidewalk, separating pedestrians	
etc.)		from vehicular traffic, which results in a	
		long-term positive impact on public safety	
		and reduces accident potential.	
Other Factors 1	2		None
Other Factors 2	2		None
	ı	CLIMATE AND ENERGY	1
Climate Change	2	While there may be temporary minor	None
		adverse impacts, such as the presence of	
		construction zones, the project installs a	
		dedicated sidewalk, separating pedestrians	
	1	from vehicular traffic, which results in a	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		long-term positive impact on public safety	
		and reduces accident potential.	
Energy Efficiency	2	While there may be temporary minor	None
		adverse impacts, such as the presence of	
		construction zones, the project installs a	
		dedicated sidewalk, separating pedestrians	
		from vehicular traffic, which results in a	
		long-term positive impact on public safety	
		and reduces accident potential.	

Lehi, UT

Supporting documentation

Master Transportation Plan Lehi City.pdf
Community Facilities.pdf
Land Development.pdf
Socioeconomic.pdf
Natural Features.pdf
Climate.pdf

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed by:

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]: Lehi City Engineering Department

List of Permits Obtained:

NA

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The individually minor, short-term adverse impacts, such as localized dust, noise, and traffic disruption during construction, are limited to a brief phase and are readily managed through standard construction mitigation practices and adherence to city ordinances. When these temporary impacts are aggregated with those from other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable infrastructure and development projects in Lehi, the cumulative adverse effect remains temporary and insignificant. Conversely, the long-term benefits of the proposed action--improved stormwater management through new curb and gutter, reduced erosion, and enhanced safety and accessibility via ADA-compliant sidewalks collectively contribute to a sustainable, more resilient, and equitable infrastructure network. Therefore, the incremental positive impact of this action, when added to other community development efforts, results in an overall positive cumulative impact on the suitable living environment.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

This project is fundamentally site-dependent and location-specific to target the precise areas along the corridor that are currently missing adequate sidewalks, curbs, and gutter infrastructure. The overall objective is to achieve a wholistic and sustainable improvement to the corridor. Isolated patch repairs or minor resurfacing would not provide a long-term solution, fail to correct the underlying drainage issues, and would not establish continuous, ADA-compliant accessibility. Choosing partial solutions would necessitate repeated, costly, and disruptive maintenance in the near future, negating the efficiency and purpose of utilizing CDBG funds for comprehensive improvement.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

The No Action Alternative was considered but not selected. This course would involve taking no action to replace or improve the failing infrastructure. Reason for Rejection: The No Action Alternative would fail to address the critical public safety hazards posed by damaged and uneven sidewalks, continuing to violate Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accessibility standards. It would also perpetuate poor street drainage, leading to further roadway deterioration, ponding, and erosion. Since the project's primary goal is to address existing deficiencies, failing to act would contradict the city's commitment to community development and safety goals.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Lehi City sidewalk project located on 400 E from 400 N to 600 N and 600 N from 300 E to 400 E, concluded that the project will result in no long-term adverse impacts on the human environment. Temporary and minor nuisances, such as localized noise and dust, will occur during the construction phase but will be mitigated through standard construction practices and adherence to local ordinances. The overall outcome of the project is a beneficial impact to the area. This benefit is realized through improved pedestrian safety, enhanced mobility and

accessibility via ADA-compliant sidewalks, and better neighborhood livability due to effective stormwater management achieved by the new curb and gutter installation.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	None	N/A	No mitigation necessary.	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	None	N/A	No mitigation necessary.	
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site- Generated Noise	None	N/A	No mitigation necessary.	
Employment and Income Patterns	None	N/A	No mitigation necessary.	
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	None	N/A	No mitigation necessary.	
Environmental Justice EA Factor	None	N/A	No mitigation necessary.	

		Т .	
Educational	None	N/A	No
and Cultural			mitigation
Facilities			necessary.
(Access and			
Capacity)			
Commercial	None	N/A	No
Facilities			mitigation
(Access and			necessary.
Proximity)			
Health Care /	None	N/A	No
Social Services		,	mitigation
(Access and			necessary.
Capacity)			,
Solid Waste	None	N/A	No
Disposal and	THO THE	'','	mitigation
Recycling			necessary.
(Feasibility			necessary.
and Capacity)			
Waste Water	None	N/A	No
and Sanitary	None	IN/A	mitigation
Sewers			necessary.
(Feasibility			necessary.
and Capacity)			
Water Supply	None	N/A	No
(Feasibility	None	11/7	mitigation
and Capacity)			necessary.
Public Safety -	None	N/A	No
Police, Fire	None	IN/A	mitigation
and			_
Emergency			necessary.
Medical			
Parks, Open	None	N/A	No
	INOTIC	'\'/^	
Space and			mitigation
Recreation			necessary.
(Access and			
Capacity)	None	NI/A	No
Transportation	None	N/A	No mitigation
and			mitigation
Accessibility			necessary.
(Access and			
Capacity)	News	21/2	NI.
Unique	None	N/A	No
Natural			mitigation
Features			necessary.

/Water			
Resources			
Vegetation /	None	N/A	No
Wildlife			mitigation
(Introduction,			necessary.
Modification,			
Removal,			
Disruption,			
etc.)			
Other Factors	None	N/A	No
1			mitigation
			necessary.
Other Factors	None	N/A	No
2			mitigation
			necessary.
Climate	None	N/A	No
Change			mitigation
			necessary.
Energy	None	N/A	No
Efficiency			mitigation
			necessary.

Project Mitigation Plan

No mitigation necessary.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

Lehi City PY25 ER Airports .pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

- 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>
 - ✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is to install new curb and gutter, and sidewalks to Lehi City downtown area. It will not be a new source of pollution.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		58.5(i)(2)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		24 CFR 50.3(i)
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,		
where a hazard could affect the health and safety of		
the occupants or conflict with the intended		
utilization of the property.		
Reference		
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/site-contamination		

1. How was site contamination evaluated?* Select all that apply.

ASTM Phase I ESA

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening.

✓ None of the above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances* (excluding radon) found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination** and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

^{*} HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site. For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

✓ No

Explain:

There were no on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found. The sidewalks will be in existing communities. These are all residential neighborhoods. One segment will tkae place

Yes

- * This question covers the presence of radioactive substances excluding radon. Radon is addressed in the Radon Exempt Question.
- ** Utilize EPA's Enviromapper, NEPAssist, or state/tribal databases to identify nearby dumps, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and industrial sites, including EPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state-equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. Additional supporting documentation may include other inspections and reports.
- 3. Evaluate the building(s) for radon. Do all buildings meet any of the exemptions* from having to consider radon in the contamination analysis listed in CPD Notice CPD-23-103?

✓ Yes

Explain:

There are no buildings involved in this project. Sidewalks will be replaced or installed depending on the section, on either 400 E between 600 N and 400 N or 600 N between 300 E and 400 E. The addition of a sidewalk will not pose a hazard to residents.

No

- * Notes:
- Buildings with no enclosed areas having ground contact.
- Buildings containing crawlspaces, utility tunnels, or parking garages would not be exempt, however buildings built on piers would be exempt, provided that there is open air between the lowest floor of the building and the ground.
- Buildings that are not residential and will not be occupied for more than 4 hours per day.
- Buildings with existing radon mitigation systems document radon levels are below 4 pCi/L with test results dated within two years of submitting the application for HUD assistance and document the system includes an ongoing maintenance plan that includes periodic testing to ensure the system continues to meet the current EPA recommended levels. If the project

does not require an application, document test results dated within two years of the date the environmental review is certified. Refer to program office guidance to ensure compliance with program requirements.

• Buildings tested within five years of the submission of application for HUD assistance: test results document indoor radon levels are below current the EPA's recommended action levels of 4.0 pCi/L. For buildings with test data older than five years, any new environmental review must include a consideration of radon using one of the methods in Section A below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is exempt from radon consideration. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

<u>Lehi FY25 EPA Facilities Map.pdf</u> <u>Lehi FY25 Sidewalk Google Earth View.png</u> <u>Sidwalks Missing-Done Old Town 8-7-25 (1).pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

✓ Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

3. What effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat?

✓ No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat. in the action area.

Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation should include a species list and explanation of your conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate

May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.

Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat.

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.

Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

✓ No mitigation is necessary.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

Based on the level of small construction taking place in an existing urban environment, it is unlikely to jeopardize the continued existence of the monarch butterfly or Suckley's cuckoo bumblebee. The project area is almost all gravel. FWS was informally consulted and agreed.

Compliance Determination

Screen

Summary

This project has been determined to have No Effect on listed species. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act without mitigation.

Supporting documentation

Monarch butterfly and Suckleys cuckoo bumblebee project review.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a
facility	that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as
bulk fu	el storage facilities and refineries)?

✓	No
	Ves

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓	No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

Tigerweb(1).png
Tigerweb.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,	* Executive Order 13690	
requires Federal activities to	* 42 USC 4001-4128	
avoid impacts to floodplains	* 42 USC 5154a	
and to avoid direct and	* only applies to screen 2047	
indirect support of floodplain	and not 2046	
development to the extent		
practicable.		

Does this project meet an exemption at 24 CFR 55.12 from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?

✓ Yes

- (a) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b).
- (b) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19.
- (c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:
- (1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and
- (2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:
- (i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);
- (ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and
- (iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.
- (d) An action involving a repossession, receivership, foreclosure, or similar acquisition of property to protect or enforce HUD's financial interests under previously approved loans, grants, mortgage insurance,

Lehi, UT

or other HUD assistance.

- (e) Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions.
- (f) A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland.
- (g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland.
- (h) Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers, or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies).
- √ (i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Describe:

Sidewalks will be added in downtown Lehi to increase pedestrian safety and mobility by installing a continuous sidewalk, but most importantly, ensuring accessibility compliance. The project will integrate ADA-compliant ramps at all necessary intersections and access points will meeting federal mandates and ensuring equitable access for all community members.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The following exception applies, therefore the project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690: 55.12(i) Special projects directed to the removal of material

and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Supporting documentation

FIRMETTE (Lehi City Sidewalk).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Consulted with SHPO, no historic properties will be impacted. ACHP not required. Tribe checklist completed. No consult necessary.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

400 East between 400 North and 600 North, and 600 N between 300 E and 400 E in Lehi City, Utah

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location / District	National	SHPO	Sensitive
	Register Status	Concurrence	Information
400 East 400 North and 600	Not Eligible	Yes	✓ Not Sensitive
North Lehi City Utah			
600 N 300 E and 400 E Lehi City,	Not Eligible	Yes	✓ Not Sensitive
Utah			

Additional Notes:

400 East between 400 North and 600 North, and 600 N between 300 E and 400 E in Lehi City, Utah

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 – Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

25-2183.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
building	g(s)?

Yes

✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

Supporting documentation

Lehi FY25 Sole Source Aquifer Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

- ✓ Yes
- 2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

Lehi City Wetlands.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The only two WSR in Utah are the Green and Virgin River. Neither of which is in Utah County, Utah, where this project will take place.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes



Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes